## N/A

**ABSOLUTE RATING:** Below Average **IMPROVEMENT RATING:** Average

**Absolute Ratings of Similar Districts** 

Unsatisfactory Below Average Average Good Excellent

#### **Definitions of District Rating Terms**

Excellent- District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC

Good- District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal. Average- District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Below Average- District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC

Unsatisfactory- District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

# PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our District

Districts With Students Like Ours







**Basic** 



English/ Language Arts

**Mathematics** English/ Language Arts

**Advanced** 

**Below Basic** 



# **DEFINITIONS OF CRITICAL TERMS:**

- Advanced Student performance exceeded expectations.
- Proficient Student performance met expectations.
- Basic Student performance met minimum performance expectations.

**Proficient** 

• Below Basic – Student performance did not meet minimum performance expectations.

#### PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS Eligibility Exit Exam Passage for LIFE Students Scoring Basic Student Group Rate by Spring 2002 Scholarships\* or Above on The PACT N % % ELA % Math Ν Ν All students 49 65.3% 44 4.5% 470 60.0% 41.5% Students with disabilities 43.5% 30.4% I/S 0 N/A 23 other than speech Students without 47 68.1% 44 4.5% 443 61.4% 42.4% disabilities Gender Male 20 55.0% 18 0.0% 230 53.5% 39.1% Female 29 72.4% 28 7.1% 240 66.3% 43.8% **Ethnic Group** African American 48 64.6% 43 4.7% 459 60.1% 41.4% I/S Hispanic I/S 3 I/S I/S 1 White N/A 0 N/A 7 71 4% 42.9% N/A I/S Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 1 I/S **Lunch Status** Free/reduced-price lunch 46 67.4% 44 4 5% 433 59.6% 40.9% Pay for lunch 3 I/S 0 N/A 32 75.0% 56.3%

N equals number of students on which percentages are calculated.

#### N/A

#### TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM First-time Examinees

	I II SI-III II LAC	111111668	
	2000	2001	2002
Our district			
Passed all 3 subtests	21.0%	19.4%	38.0%
Passed 2 subtests	25.8%	22.2%	24.0%
Passed 1 subtest	24.2%	30.6%	16.0%
Passed no subtest	29.0%	27.8%	22.0%
Districts with students like our	s		
Passed all 3 subtests	42.0%	47.1%	43.8%
Passed 2 subtests	22.9%	20.6%	25.1%
Passed 1 subtest	18.3%	18.6%	16.5%
Passed no subtest	16.8%	13.6%	14.5%

#### LIFE scholarships at four-year institutions\*

		Percent of Seniors	
		Meeting Grade Point	Meeting SAT/ACT
	Eligible	Average Requirement	Requirement
Our District	4.5	25.0	11.4
Districts Like Ours	4.1	27.0	5.3

\*Using the criteria for students who entered college in fall 2001.

#### College Admissions Tests: Tests that are frequently used in the college admissions process.

	SAT	SAT	SAT	ACT	ACT	ACT	ACT	ACT
	Verbal	Math	Total	English	Math	Reading	Science	Total
	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002
District	405 375	388 411	793 786	18.0 13.8	17.6 16.2	15.8 14.6	18.3 16.3	17.6 15.3
State	486 488	488 493	974 981	18.8 18.8	19.3 19.1	19.5 19.3	19.2 19.2	19.3 19.2
Nation	506 504	514 516	1020 1020	20.5 20.2	20.7 20.6	21.3 21.1	21.0 20.8	21.0 20.8

These tests were administered to samples of students:

#### Terra Nova Test: A national, norm-referenced achievement test. Percent scoring in upper half

	Reading		Language		Math		Total	
	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation
Grade 4	47.8	50.0.	43.1	50.0	58.4	50.0	50.5	50.0
Grade 7	45.8	50.0	59.4	50.0	54.7	50.0	53.9	50.0
Grade 10	59.6	50.0	59.5	50.0	62.4	50.0	59.1	50.0

National Assessment of Education Progress: A national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

#### Percents of Students

			Adv	anced	Pro	ficient	B	asic	Belov	v Basic
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation
Reading	4	1998	4	6	18	23	33	32	45	39
Writing	8	1998	0	1	15	23	64	59	21	17
Mathematics	4	2000	2	3	16	23	42	43	40	31

ABBREVIATIONS FOR MISSING DATA

N/A - Not Applicable

N/C - Not Collected

N/R - Not Reported

I/S - Insufficient Sample





<sup>\*</sup>Using the criteria for students who entered college in fall 2001.

# DISTRICT PROFILE INDICATORS OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE

			With	
	This District	Change from Last Year	Students Like Ours	Median District
DISTRICT				
Dollars per student	\$9,560	Up 9.6%	\$8,031	\$7,072
<ul> <li>Prime instructional time</li> </ul>	N/R	N/R	87.4%	89.9%
<ul> <li>Student-teacher ratio</li> </ul>	10.6 to 1	Down from 16.6 to 1	13.8 to 1	18.6 to 1
<ul> <li>Vacancies for more than nine weeks</li> </ul>	2.1%	Down from 3.6%	1.7%	0.4%
STUDENTS (n=1,062)				
<ul> <li>Advanced placement/ Int'l baccalaureate program:</li> </ul>				
Participation Rate	10.9%	N/A	4.7%	9.3%
Exam Success Rate	6.3%	N/A	6.1%	52.7%
Attendance Rate	96.3%	Down from 96.9%	95.5%	96.0%
<ul> <li>Taking PACT (ELA) off grade level</li> </ul>	7.8%	Up from 3.2%	11.2%	7.1%
<ul> <li>Taking PACT (Math) off grade level</li> </ul>	6.7%	Up from 3.4%	8.4%	5.6%
Retention rate	6.7%	Down from 9.2%	8.7%	5.6%
TEACHERS (n=95)				
<ul> <li>Professional development days per teacher</li> </ul>	5.0 Days	Down from 8.0Days	5.0 Days	5.0 Days
Attendance rate	N/R	N/R	95.0%	95.0%
Advanced Degrees	34.7%	Down from 34.9%	34.6%	46.6%
<ul> <li>Continuing contracts</li> </ul>	64.2%	Down from 71.1%	72.5%	83.1%
Out-of-field permits	5.3%	Down from 6.0%	3.9%	2.0%
<ul> <li>Teachers returning from the previous year</li> </ul>	76.3%	Down from 78.1%	77.1%	88.6%
Average salary	\$35,034	Up 0.3%	\$36,249	\$39,023

Districts

### **DISTRICT FACTS**

DISTRICT				
Annual dropout rate	2.0%	Down from 7.7%	2.1%	3.1%
<ul> <li>Percentage spent on teacher salaries</li> </ul>	45.2%	Down from 48.2%	49.6%	53.7%
<ul> <li>Superintendent's years in the district</li> </ul>	N/R	N/R	5.5	3.0
<ul> <li>Parent conferences</li> </ul>	58.1%	Up from 25.2%	90.6%	93.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	Down from Fair	Good	Excellent
<ul> <li>Number of schools</li> </ul>	3	No change	4	8
<ul> <li>Number of alternative schools</li> </ul>	0	No change	0	0
<ul> <li>Number of charter schools</li> </ul>	0	No change	0	0
Number of magnet schools	N/R	N/R	0	0
Portable classrooms	30.5%	Up from 12.0%	9.1%	6.6%
<ul> <li>Attendance rate of district office staff</li> </ul>	N/R	N/R	95.9%	96.8%
<ul> <li>Average administrative salary</li> </ul>	\$62,680	Down 6.4%	\$63,087	\$66,570
STUDENTS				
Enrollment in adult education GED or diploma programs	28	N/A	67	129
Number of completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	2	N/A	18	37
<ul> <li>Suspensions and expulsions</li> </ul>	5.6%	N/A	2.3%	1.5%
Percent eligible for state gifted and talented programs	2.6%	Down from 8.0%	4.2%	10.6%
Percentage with disabilities other than speech	8.0%	Down from 9.1%	9.2%	10.7%
502				0500





Grades K-12

Enrollment: 1,062 Students Superintendent N/A N/A Board Chair N/A N/A

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Annual District Report Card

2002

#### DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Denmark-Olar School District's theme – "Excellence – In everything we do, we will demonstrate excellence," expresses its determination and commitment to ensuring that each child in the District is provided the best possible educational opportunities. The success of the educational system is dependent on dedicated, enthusiastic, knowledgeable and productive administrators, teachers and staff, along with the positive support of the parents and community. We are focusing on six strategic targets:

- 1. High Student Achievement We expect students to achieve at the highest levels.
- 2. Quality Administrators and Teachers We expect principals to be instructional leaders in the schools and teachers to be the instructional leaders in the classrooms.
- 3. Early Childhood Education No child will be left behind. Children will enter the first grade ready to learn and to succeed.
- 4. Parental and Community Partnerships The school district belongs to the parents and the community. Parents and community must play positive, active roles as partners in student learning.
- 5. Safe and Healthy Schools Schools are safe, healthy places with environments that are conducive for learning.
- 6. District Leadership Powerful leadership and support will be demonstrated to bring about positive and effective results in student achievement.

Many changes have been implemented which indicate our commitment to improved student performance. The District has been awarded a \$625,000 SC READS grant through the State Department of Education. We will continue to identify the necessary resources and skills to empower our students to make something significant happen in their lives.

#### South Carolina Performance Goal:

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the five fastest improving systems in the country.

For more information, visit www.myscschools.com or www. sceoc.org

0502